

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPURCHHATTISGARH**Single Bench**WRIT PETITION No. 1174/2006PETITIONER

✓ Dr. Prafulla Sharma S/o Late Shri B.L. Sharma, aged about 46 years, presently working and posted at Medical Officer, 8th Battalion (I.R.) District - Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

VERSUSRESPONDENTS

- ✓ 1. State of Chhattisgarh through it's Secretary, Department of Health & Family Welfare, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur (C.G.)
- ✓ 2. The Director, Health Services, State of Chhattisgarh, Raipur (C.G.)
- ✓ 3. The Under Secretary, Department of Health & Family Welfare, State of Chhattisgarh, Raipur (C.G.)
- ✓ 4. The Superintendent of Police, Police Training School Distt. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1231/06
 Presented by *Smt. Peh...*
 dated 6/3/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF
MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC. OR ANY OTHER
DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR**SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J****WRIT PETITION NO. 1174 OF 2006****Petitioner****Dr. Prafulla Sharma****Versus****Respondents****State of Chhattisgarh & Others**

Present :- Shri Sameer Behar, counsel for the petitioner.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.

ORDER**(Passed on 25th March, 2006)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is working as Medical Officer. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, the name of the petitioner has been omitted in the gradation list of Medical Officers dated 1-4-2005. The petitioner has made representation dated 26-2-2004 to respondent No.2, thereafter the petitioner has made one more representation on 8-12-2005 to respondent No.2 and the representation was also made to respondent No.1 on 17-5-2005. Despite several representations, the petitioner could not get any response from the concerned Officers. The name of the petitioner has wrongly not been mentioned in the gradation list dated 1-4-2005. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner confines his submission for considering the representations already made by the petitioner on 26-2-2004, 8-12-2005 & 17-5-2005 (Annexure-P/3 collectively).

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has no objection.

In view of the above, the respondents No.1 & 2 are directed to consider and decide the above stated representations of the petitioner in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order. If any grievance survives, the petitioner is at liberty to file appropriate petition/application.

In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Consequently, M.(W.).P.No.913/2006 also stands disposed of.

GOWRI

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge